APPENDIX III—*contd.*

MR. K. RAMIAH SETTY (Hosdurga Taluk).—

Q.—42. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) Whether any material advantage is gained to the raiyats in spite of the Revenue Commissioner's Circular No. D. Dis. 62—30-31, dated 18th May 1931, in the matter of the sale of avenue trees ?

(*b*) Is it not a fact that all the avenue trees were planted by the raiyats at one time or other ?

(*c*) If so, is it not right that the usufruct of these trees should go to the raiyats ?

(*d*) Is it not a great hardship to the raiyats to prove their claims ?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT.—

A.—42. (*a*) The question is not intelligible. It is a matter of opinion.

(*b*) No.

(*c*) No. *Vide* answer to (*b*).

(*d*) No. Where documentary evidence is not forthcoming, proof of continuous enjoyment of usufruct for 12 years is permitted.

MR. T. SHADAKSHARAPPA (Challakere Taluk).—

Q.—43. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) Whether it is still in practice in Civil Courts to collect the entire court fee as soon as suits are filed ?

(*b*) Whether it is in the knowledge of Government that the question of levying half the court fee as soon as the suit is filed in the court and the remaining court-fee soon after the framing of the issues had come up for consideration of the Dasara (October) Session of the Representative Assembly ?

(*c*) What was the final decision of the Assembly on the subject ?

CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—

A.—43. (*a*) Yes.

(*b*) A resolution had been brought up before the Representative Assembly in October 1930.

(*c*) The resolution was carried.